

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,015	10/26/2001	Kevin Lauren Cote	600.1181	5037
23280	7590 01/26/2005	EXAMINER		
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC 485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			CHOI, STEPHEN	
NEW YORK, NY 10018		OK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · <u>-</u> · · ·	,		3724	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/002,015	COTE ET AL.				
omec Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this accomplisation of	Stephen Choi	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period by the Office later than three months after the mail of the period for terms adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	November 2004.					
,	·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8-22</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17,21 and 22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,9-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $\underline{8}$ is/are objected to.						
·	☐ Claim(s) o is/are objected to: ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive	on No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a fi	st of the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 21-22 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Invention of claims 21-22 are distinct from the invention originally claimed since the originally claimed invention does not require a driver as set forth in claim 21.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 21-22 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 9-16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryson et al. (US 3,733,947) in view of Belec et al. (US 5,374,044).

Bryson discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a backstop rotating by a driver about an axis along an arcuate path in a single angular direction in a direction of movement of a sheet material article from a first position out of a path of movement to a second position in the path of movement, and further to a third position.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015

Art Unit: 3724

Belec discloses a backstop (50) rotating by a driver (col. 5, lines 5-7) about an axis (54) in a single angular direction in a direction of movement of a sheet material article from a position out of a path of movement to a position in the path of movement. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bryson with the backstop assembly of Belec in order to increase the speed and reliability of the sheet material article handler. Regarding claims 9-10, see col. 5, lines 7-11 of Belec. Regarding claim 12, an elongated member (52). Regarding claims 13 and 15, an intermittent drive mechanism (servo motor). Regarding claim 14, see col. 8, line 61-col. 10, line 56 of Bryson.

# Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6, line 29 page 7, line 5, filed 08 November 2004, with respect to claim 8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 8 has been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 13, and 18 filed 08 November 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that neither Bryson nor Belec discloses or teaches the axis of the backstop being at the movable table of the sheet material handler as claimed.

Applicants further contend that neither Bryson nor Belec discloses the driver including an intermittent drive mechanism configured to move the backstop along the arcuate path in synchronization with the moving of the sheet material article.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Belec does disclose the axis of the backstop (50) being at a movable element of a sheet material handler (col. 5, lines 7-

Art Unit: 3724

11). Furthermore, Belec teaches the use of a servo motor to move the backstop in synchronization with the movement of the workpiece (see col. 8, lines 13-55).

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sc

18 January 2005

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER